

Orange الخط الثابت

ص.ب ۱٦۸۹ عمان ۱۱۱۱۸ الأردن ماتف: ۹٦۲ ٦٤٦٠ ٦٦٦ ₊ فاكس: ۲۱۱۱ ۹۳۲ ۲۹۲۲ ب www.orange.jo

الرقم: 6 / 19 / 15/ 11 / 9 / 11 التاريخ: 22 / 2 / 17 | 20

عطوفة الرئيس التنفيذي لهيئة تنظيم قطاع الاتصالات المحترم

الموضوع: إخطار طلب ملاحظات حول تعديل خطة الترقيم الوطنية

تحية وبعد،

إشارة إلى إخطار طلب ملاحظات حول تعديل خطة الترقيم الوطنية المنشور على موقع الهيئة بتاريخ 2017/1/23، نرفق لكم ملاحظاتنا على تلك الوثيقة آملين أخذها بعين الاعتبار.

وتفضلوا بقبول فائق الاحترام،،،

المدير التنفيذي المشؤون القانونية والتنظيمية والمصادر

د الزاهيم حرب

شركة الاتصالات الأردنية – أورانج

Orange Fixed comments on review of the "National Numbering Plan"

Orange Fixed welcomes the opportunity to participate in this consultation and shares its views on this important matter with the TRC, and hope that its comments taken into consideration.

Orange Fixed comments are structures into two parts; General comments, and Specific comments.

A. General comments:

Orange Fixed supports the TRC efforts reviewing the NNP. However, the revision of the National Numbering Plan (NNP) shall take into account the following principles:

1. Significant change in the telecommunications market is identified that has implications on NNP.

2. Shortage of the available number capacity is recognized.

3. Numbering capacity is needed to meet growth of telecommunications services, taking into account the characteristics of available technologies, the forecast growth of population and of the market, the geographic distribution of demand and the prospect of increasing telephone density.

4. Does not create unreasonable cost, confusion and/or disruption for end users, including enterprises, and Operators.

To this end, kindly find below Orange Fixed position on some of the modifications proposed by the TRC, namely;

1. The restructuring of the Fixed Geographic numbering encompass high technical risk to Orange Fixed due to the fact that switches in Orange Fixed are based on TDM technology which are end of life for hardware and software and this is based on vendors official roadmaps and product lifecycles, taking into consideration that hardware and software support is not always readily available and are therefore not flexible enough to accommodate major changes in the NNP. Moreover, this will need direct intervention at each corporate customer site, where each corporate customer needs to contact vendors of its PBXs for new numbering configuration and this is a risk because of the old and outdated PBXs used, this configuration includes parallel running of old and new numbering structure if needed. Therefore, implementation of this restructuring of the Fixed Geographic numbering will create unnecessary cost and disruption of services for end users with high risk on our network due to end of life of the TDM switches technology.

2. The extending capacity of the Location Independent numbering ranges is not necessary due to the fact that the current numbering capacity is very

- poorly utilized from all operators and VoIP services available over this numbering range is very limited. Therefore, Orange Fixed believes that any change to this range should only be addressed if a shortage in numbering is noticed that does not support the demand for such services.
- 3. For the allocation of MNC for non-mobile operators, Orange Fixed notes that the new ITU recommendation E.212 gives more latitude to National Regulatory Authorities but does not mandate more flexible criteria, where the rationale for having more flexible criteria on such scarce resources globally remains weak, such changes should only be contemplated if all other means have been exhausted to meet the requirements of the market. Orange Fixed would therefore suggest that market demand and alternatives such as those mentioned in the recommendation be thoroughly assessed before contemplating such a change.

The improvement in the infrastructural environment around M2M has led to a rapid growth of applications and services that meet users' business and lifestyle needs. M2M/IoT technologies are being used in a wide range of so-called "vertical industries", including transport, smart homes and cities, energy, payments and e-health.

The current M2M deployments are based on utilizing the existing numbering, a situation that has been sufficient heretofore.

Therefore, given the cost of implementing an additional range or length, Orange Fixed believes that in the short to medium term, the usage of the existing numbering ranges can be preferred and its growth closely monitored. If the growth of M2M is larger than expected or if adequate capacity does not exist, the new numbering range would indeed be needed, taking into consideration that there is still a space on the current numbering to accommodate the demand side for these services for short and medium terms..

Adding to this, we see complexity in identifying already available M2M subscribers in fixed network since switching of such services is based on E.164 numbers and is not based by a specific service provisioning.

Going forward, Orange Fixed also notes that alternatives exist, including numbering alternatives such as the use of so called global country code (with ITU-T Country Code 883 or Mobile Country Code 901). Further radical changes to mobile network architectures are under way with the advent of so called "all-IP networks" and VoLTE/5G with potentially new opportunities for identities other than numbers such IP addresses or alphanumeric identities ("email-like" formats). As a result rushing into a change of number format when their use for the longer term would not be necessary and may not be desirable. TRC should therefore keep closer look at the development in IPv6 as in the longer term, IPv6

addressing will become important and the target instead of E.164 numbering for M2M communications.

In addition, we would like to point out that technology and standards did not yet define clear services in fixed network or fixed/mobile convergence that would need the use of MNC as part of user identification to allow for service authorization and access. So we believe it is yet an anticipation from ITU-T issued very recently to have fixed networks ready for such potential future use

On the other hand, Orange Fixed believes that addressing the numbering requirement for M2M/IoT services should be reviewed within the general context of developing regulations for such services, especially that different business models would be provided based on the value chain of M2M/IoT services (i.e., connectivity provider, platform, application, and devices provider), which business models could transfer from B2C to B2B or B2B2C.

We see that a special consultation should be conducted by TRC to address M2M/IoT services in which addressing the regulatory requirements in terms of:

- 1. Definition of M2M/IoT.
- 2. Numbering resources
- 3. Spectrum resources
- 4. Licensing requirements
- 5. Privacy and cyber security
- 6. Data ownership, access and liability

More comments are detailed below within the specific answers to TRC questions.

œ Specific comments

Question	Orange Fixed Response
Q1/1: Do you find it suitable to	Q1/1: Do you find it suitable to The current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation that are structured in the current fixed geographic number designation for the current fixed geographic number designation fixed geographic number designation fixed geographic number designation for the current fixed geographic number designation fixed geographic number designation for the current fixed geographic number designation fixed geographic number geographic
remove the national region prefix	remove the national region prefix (Prefix ABCxxxx) have a meaningful geographic significance for the users.
 (02, 03, 05, 06) to be replaced by	(02, 03, 05, 06) to be replaced by significance is not only useful for tariff transparency but also for the calling party to
unified prefix for all fixed	unified prefix for all fixed know where the called number is expected to be located. With the proposed
geographic services to be	geographic services to be amendment the meaningful significance would be made difficult to recognize.
followed by special code for	
operators and a code for	operators and a code for Callers like to be able to see geographic information in a geographic indirection
geographic region? In case if	geographic region? In case if especially when browsing potential services as it gives them an idea where the
disagreement, please state your	disagreement, please state your service is be based. Therefore, this may likely to affect the perception of custoffers on
reasoning	the fixed services.

Changing the current fixed geographic structure may cause the following negative impacts:

- Generate end-user confusion.
- Additional cost for customers, especially the corporate.
- Unforeseen market restructuring effects.
- Require large changes in the systems of Orange Fixed.
- Lead to the disappearance of special (local/national) tariffs.

geographic numbers refers to the existing completion to Orange Fixed Voice Service. disadvantage arising from its Universal Service Obligation. for sharing of USO costs and compensating Orange Fixed for the material competitive Therefore, the proposed modification shall be preceded by applying the methodology In addition, Orange Fixed strongly believes that the proposed modification for the fixed

be incurred by the licensees and the international carriers, and the potential impact to requested modification in terms of the complexity of implementation, the cost that will Orange Fixed would like to emphasize that the TRC should assess the impact of the

							Question
Moreover, Orange Fixed would like to emphasize on the importance that the income moreover, Orange Fixed would like to emphasize on the importance that the need to define the exact timing and conditions for change before adopting any restructuring of numbering plan, and all parties impacted by this change would need to coordinate their actions. Similar changes in other countries have typically been planned in terms of years rather than months.	This will cause Orange Fixed to incur an unexpected costs due to numbering restructuring that is unjustified as stated above.	accommodate the new crianges. 6. Inform the international carriers to update their international gateways in order to route the calls.	implement such changes. A Routing of emergency calls and retrieving the callers information by the	switches. The need for analysis of two new digits to identify and route the call to the fixed	1 High technical risks due to end of life to for the current TDM technology	Removing national significance (to a small or larger extent) can be very challenging to Orange Fixed in a number of issues:	Orange Fixed Response local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators, in addition to the confusion and costs that it may local and international operators.

Ilulibelling lange io
sumboring range for lol sen
Head Iol to gotte
and for to designate a
QZ/1: What is your opinion on
on the
be citaliyed.
- homod
seven digits for the Siv would hot
Cl. Hogers
or penative? knowing that the
What be your commonto, Poor
acceptance positive
accentable and doable? Allu
CITO. WOULD GIO
one would the new format be
0000
state your reasoning.
Case II ulsayi comons, Pro-
if disagreement please
would be: 01 AB xxxxxxx? In
for fixed geographic screen
Services, writers are service
unified prefix (01) for uns
regarding the designation of the
the designation of the
01/2: What is your opinion
Question

Q2/2: Do you think that allocation of numbering capacity in blocks of ten thousand numbers for					Question mobile)?
Allocation of 10k blocks are suitable where connected devices require fewer numbers. However, TRC should take into consideration that this block size have been proved to be too small for larger deployment. Therefore, Orange Fixed believes that 100k blocks	Orange Fixed believes that a long term solution for M2M shall be IPv6 or numbers/addresses other than E.164 numbers should preferably be used for M2M applications.	Orange Fixed would like to note that the M2M number length is an element that has an impact all across fixed and mobiles infrastructures (IT, customer care and backend systems, and fixed networks for such numbers to be routable from third party networks), which has a significant technical and financial implications.	 Allocation of numbering capacities for MZW Screensed operators. Continue the current usage of mobile and geographic numbering capacities for M2M services up to the point where high demand for such services that require the usage of the dedicated numbering, or adequate capacity does not exist or may cause depletion to the current numbering resources. TRC should ensure that the M2M number range(s) are not used as an alternative to existing number ranges to escape regulatory requirements. 	In principle, Orange Fixed support addressing the issue of designating special numbering range for M2M services given future potential demand for such services. However, given the current low demand for such services, we recommend the following approach:	Orange Fixed Response operators' connected devices if remain on standard length. However, If the volumes or forecasts are such that these resources would not be sufficient, TRC may as an alternative increase the SN digits

000		,
	mechanism to calculate the	
t percentage and flexible assignment conditions should be allowed.	the cur	
-	Q5/2: what are your comments	
	operators, and if such sharing is justified?	
	sharing of such codes between	
yet an anticipation from ITU-T issued very recently to have fixed networks ready for such potential future use.	nodate servic	Value of the same
'n	use of such codes to mobile network operators to	
,	which remove the restriction of	
2. The rationale for having more flexible criteria on such scarce resources globally contemplated if all other	as to ensure consistency with the	
	allocation for where all network	
	regarding amending the text relevant to MNC by allowing its	
	Q4/1: What is your opinion	
the ct that \There	regarding that adding of one digit to Location Independent Service numbering range, where the total number of digits would be (10)?	
Orange Fixed strongly believes that the current numbering structure for Location		(0
are more sufficient given the number demand and deprojections.	each application is enough, suitable and necessary at this	σ o
Orange Fixed Response	Question	0

Question Question Guestion allocation and reservation of numbering capacity? And is there any need to review this formula?	
	Orange Fixed Response